

Revision Sheet for Redington Wind Farm Permit Application

February 1, 2006 Revision 1.1

Section	Document	Changes	Questions from LURC/Background
Revisions & Clarifications		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New Section – Add tab 	
	Revision Cover Letter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LURC request
	Revision Sheet	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LURC request to document revisions
	Revision 1.1 Clarification Document	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LURC request
	Maine Forest Service Letter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add letter from Unit Forest Ranger 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have letters from the sheriff and fire department confirming that these services will be available?
	Sheriff's Letter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add letter from Redington Township police 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have letters from the sheriff and fire department confirming that these services will be available?
Forms	LURC Application	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 1 – Change Land Division History Replace page 1 – Change Lot Ownership Replace page 3 – Change question 9 from NO to YES Replace page 4 – Question 15, exhibits, point to photos in application 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Land division history - The information summarized in the LURC form doesn't include other lots that may have been split off from the original lot within five years of the purchase by Endless Energy. Also, it appears that the information supplied is only for one lot (Redington Mtn?), not the other (Black Nubble) Lot ownership information - Does this include all lots currently owned by Endless? Are the "strip of land" and the maintenance building lot included in this? Item #9 [wetland alterations] is incorrect Photos are a required exhibit – add reference in LURC application.
	LURC Supplement S-2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 2 – Add reference to Maine Historic Preservation on question 19. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MHPC's letter says that additional information, specifically a visual impact study, is needed to make their final Section 106 decision in regard to the AT
	LURC Supplement S-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 1 – Remove the word "logging" Also page 1 – Reference Section 7 under Nature of Wetland Alteration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The form needs amending - can't say these are "logging roads". Also, add a reference to where the rest of the wetlands assessment discussion is to the form. There needs to be a tight discussion about the cleared areas vs the permanently altered areas, and why they were considered separately.
Section 1 Development Description	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace Table of Contents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> References to appendices have changed.
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 1 – change reference to map in text 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rename Protected Soils map "(P-SG) Soil and Geology Protection Subdistrict".

Section	Document	Changes	Questions from LURC/Background
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 7 – Key Facts Table to address indirect wetland impacts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In Section 1, the project summary table just shows total wetland impact. In the far right column could you break out the amount that is just clearing.
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 19 – Update stability study information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CMP stability study is complete.
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 32 – Gravel pit information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide the acreage of the existing and proposed gravel pits
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 38 – Public Services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More information on fire protection
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 42 – Fire Protection 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Who is responsible for fire protection?
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 44 – Additional met tower information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meteorological Towers. What will they be used for?
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 45 – Emergency operations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What emergency services will MMP provide?
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace pages 50 – Foundations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on new information from geotechnical borings
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace pages 63-65 – Updated construction schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on new information on turbine delivery
	Development Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace appendices 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appendices to Section 1 have changed (Transport Manual, System Impact Study and P-SG map name)
	Base Map With LURC Zones	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insert map before Redington Township map at Appendix 1.1 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested from LURC in conversation
Section 1 Development Description	Protected Soils Map	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rename Appendix 1.2 as (P-SG) Soil and Geology Protection Subdistrict 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> You should rename that map "(P-SG) Soil and Geology Protection Subdistrict".
	System Impact Study Final Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace entire Appendix 5.4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CMP recently completed both phases of the System Impact Study.
	V90 Transport Manual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace Appendix 8.3 with the most recent V90 road specifications Remove Appendix 8.4 (it is a duplicate) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 1, Appendices 8.3 and 8.4 appear to be the same document. Both 8.3 and 8.4 open up to the Vista Transport Guidelines.
Section 3 Financial Capacity	Financial Capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace page 1 – Updated Cost Table 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please provide more detail for the cost estimate in the Financial Capacity section.
	Clarification Letter from Edison Mission Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add January 20, 2006 Edison letter after Financial Capacity Document 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial capacity – In response to request from LURC.
Section 8 Historic Sites	Letter to the MHPC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add January 17, 2006 letter from MMP to the MHPC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In response to requirement for MHPC to make Section 106 determination